

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,508	02/19/2002	Hideaki Yoshida	219891US2 DIV	5405
22850	7590 05/07/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDR	STREET IA, VA 22314		TANG, MINH NHUT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/076,508	YOSHIDA ET AL.	$\mathcal{N}$			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAN INO DATE AND	Minh N. Tang	2829				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	fress			
I HE M Extensi after SI - If the pr - If NO pr - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, bly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDOME	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor	nmunication.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 F	ebruary 2002 .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under End of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the 53 O.G. 213.	merits is			
	claim(s) 1,12 and 13 is/are pending in the app						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ C	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) C	laim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) C	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or papers	election requirement.					
9)[] Th	e specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ Th	e drawing(s) filed on <u>19 June 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🖂 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).				
	All b) Some * c) None of:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. , , ,				
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2.	□ Certified copies of the priority documents		n No. <i>08/862.414</i> .				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
i	nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·		oplication).			
a) [	The translation of the foreign language provi	sional application has been recei	ved.	,			
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (I 5) Notice of Informal Par 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s). tent Application (PTO-1	52)			
U.S. Patent and Traden PTO-326 (Rev. 04)		on Summary	Part of Pa	aper No. 6			

Application/Control Number: 10/076,508

Art Unit: 2829

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No.
 08/862,414, filed on May 23, 1997.

#### Oath/Declaration

2. In the Declaration, the signature of the seventh joint inventor appears inconsistent with the typed name, i.e., the signature name is Kato whereas the typed name is Katou. Clarification is required.

### **Drawings**

3. The corrected or substitute drawings (Paper No. 3) were received on 6/19/2002. These drawings are approved.

## Specification

- 4. The Preliminary Amendment filed on 02/19/2002 (Paper No. 5) has been entered.
- 5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/076,508

Art Unit: 2829

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 1, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hattori et al. (U.S.P. 5,673,477) in view of McQuade et al. (U.S.P. 5,416,429).

As to claim 1, Hattori et al. disclose, in Fig. 16(a), a probe device (37) comprising: a film (3); a plurality of wiring patterns (4a, see column 12, lines 2-3) formed on a first surface (i.e., lower surface) of the film (3), each wiring pattern (4a) having a front end portion (38) projecting out from the first surface (lower surface) of the film (3) in a direction parallel to the first surface (lower surface) of the film (3) so as to form contact pins (38, 40, 2); wherein the plurality of contact pins (38, 40, 2) include a fabricated bending point (39) at a middle portion in an axial line direction with a Ni plating treatment (see column 5, lines 60-65). Hattori et al. do not show a metal layer provided on a second surface of the film (3). McQuade et al. disclose, in Figs. 5 and 6, a probe assembly comprising a plurality of wiring patterns (46, 48, 50) formed on a first

Art Unit: 2829

surface (lower surface) of a film (45); a metal layer (44) provided on a second surface (upper surface) of the film (45) for serving as ground plane (see column 8, lines 5-15). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide on the upper surface of the film of Hattori et al. with a metal layer as taught by McQuade et al. in order to serve as ground plane.

As to claim 12, Hattori et al. disclose, in Fig. 16(a), a probe device (37) including a plurality of contact probes (4), each contact probe (4) comprising: a film (3); a plurality of wiring patterns (4a, see column 12, lines 2-3) formed on a first surface (lower surface) of the film (3), each wiring pattern (4a) having a front end portion (38) projecting out from the first surface (lower surface) of the film (3) in a direction parallel to the first surface (lower surface) of the film (3) so as to form contact pins (38, 40, 2): wherein the plurality of contact probes (4) are arranged such that the axial lines of the contact pins (38, 40, 2) are substantially vertical to a contact face (A) of an object of measurement, and the plurality of contact probes (4) are disposed in parallel (see Fig. 1) so as to provide spaces between respective faces (see Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)) of the films (3) of the plurality of contact probes (4), and wherein the plurality of contact pins (38, 40, 2) include a fabricated bending point (39) at a middle portion in an axial line direction with a Ni plating treatment (see column 5, lines 60-65). Hattori et al. do not show a metal layer provided on a second surface of the film (3). McQuade et al. disclose, in Figs. 5 and 6, a probe assembly comprising a plurality of wiring patterns (46, 48, 50) formed on a first surface (lower surface) of a film (45); a metal layer (44) provided on a second surface (upper surface) of the film (45) for serving as ground

Art Unit: 2829

plane (see column 8, lines 5-15). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide on the upper surface of the film of Hattori et al. with a metal layer as taught by McQuade et al. in order to serve as ground plane.

As to claim 13, Hattori et al. disclose in Fig. 16(a), a direction of bending (i.e., bent toward the inspected surface A of an object to be inspected) of the contact pins (38, 40, 2) of the plurality of the contact probes (4) when a buckling load is applied is configured to be substantially constant.

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 12, and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Higgins 5,521,518 Probe Card Apparatus.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh N. Tang whose telephone number is (703) 305-1652. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) first Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Cuneo, Kamand can be reached on (703) 308-1233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Application/Control Number: 10/076,508

Art Unit: 2829

Page 6

305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3431.

Minh Tang April 28, 2003